

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,228	CACI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason T. Whipkey	2622	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Now abandoned

(1) Jason Whipkey.

(3) _____.

(2) Randall Vaas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2007

Time: 10:58 a.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



LIN YE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the attorney on September 27, 2007, to confirm that no reply has been filed in this application. The attorney indicated that he would call the examiner on September 28 with an answer. No return call was received. Additionally the examiner left messages on October 4 and October 10, but the attorney did not respond. .